



## Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (SECARB) Saline Reservoir Field Test

Field Test Location  
Escatawpa, Mississippi

Amount and  
Sources of CO<sub>2</sub>  
3,000 Tons (short) of  
Natural CO<sub>2</sub> (donation)

### Primary Contacts

#### ***DOE/NETL Project Manager***

Mr. Bruce Lani  
bruce.lani@doe.netl.gov

#### ***Principal Investigator***

Mr. Kenneth J. Nemeth  
Southern States Energy Board (SSEB)  
nemeth@sseb.org

#### ***Field Test Principal***

Mr. Richard Rhudy  
Electric Power Research Institute  
(EPRI)  
rrhudy@epri.com

#### ***Southern Company Site Contact***

Mr. Richard Esposito  
Southern Company  
raesposi@southernco.com

### Field Test Partners

#### ***Primary Sponsors***

DOE/NETL  
SSEB

#### ***Industrial Partners***


Advanced Resources, International  
Denbury Resources, Incorporated  
Duke Energy  
Tennessee Valley Authority

### Summary of Field Test Site and Operations

The Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership's (SECARB) Saline Reservoir Field Test will be conducted at Mississippi Power Company's Plant Daniel, a power generation facility capable of delivering over 1,000 megawatts of coal-fired electricity into the Jackson County power grid. Situated near the town of Escatawpa, Mississippi, the power plant covers about 1,600 acres of surface area, with Mississippi Power Company controlling mineral rights beneath the facility (Figure 1).

One injection well and one observation well will be permitted and drilled to access the Massive Sand Unit of the Tuscaloosa Formation, for carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) injection and subsurface plume surveillance.

The observation well will be drilled first to provide local subsurface data from coring, geophysical well logging, pressure transient testing and stress testing. This data will be collected and analyzed to confirm the viability of the test site. Prior to drilling the injection well, a seismic survey will be conducted. This initial survey will be used to confirm the observed lack of regional faulting and fracturing, and to serve as a baseline for subsequently detecting CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface. After injection has been performed, a second seismic survey will be performed to assess the local migration of the injected CO<sub>2</sub>. Since the subsurface strata in the vicinity of the Massive Sand Unit contains glauconite (a mineral that creates seismic interference), Vertical Seismic Profiling (VSP) will be the survey of choice. The VSP methodology places the seismic source in the subsurface, helping mitigate any glauconite interference.



**SECARB Phase II Geographic Region and Field Test Site Locations**




Figure 1. Plant Daniel Location Map

## Research Objectives

The purpose of this project is to test the deep saline reservoirs located near the large coal-fired power plants along the Mississippi Gulf Coast for geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub>. In this area, the Lower Tuscaloosa Massive Sand Unit has been identified as a high potential CO<sub>2</sub> storage option.

To assure a safe, secure and publicly accepted storage site, the project team is in the process of building the essential foundation of knowledge and science. This includes: (1) constructing geological and reservoir maps to further assess the test site; (2) conducting reservoir simulations to estimate CO<sub>2</sub> injection rate, storage capacity, and the long-term fate of injected CO<sub>2</sub>; (3) addressing state/local regulatory issues for permitting this site; (4) fostering public education and outreach to build acceptance; (5) injecting 3,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>; and (6) conducting longer-term monitoring to establish the location and security of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume.

## Summary of Modeling and MMV Efforts

Preliminary geophysical reservoir modeling indicates that the 3,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> to be injected will be confined to a very small volume of available reservoir pore space. The plume will flow about 250 feet radially and 50 feet vertically, becoming essentially immobile in 5 years. The CO<sub>2</sub> will remain confined within the lower portion of the Massive Sand Unit. Geochemical modeling will be conducted following additional subsurface data collection (core and fluid sampling) scheduled to occur during the drilling of the observation well.

Prior to, during, and following CO<sub>2</sub> injection, subsurface and surface monitoring techniques will be employed to track the flow, trapping and confinement of the CO<sub>2</sub>.

In addition to time-lapse VSP for areal migration and RST logging for vertical migration of the CO<sub>2</sub>, numerous surface time-lapse monitoring protocols will be performed to ensure the safety of this sequestration project. These will include: (1) monitoring the shallow subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and comparing those to historical background sampling; (2) tracing the CO<sub>2</sub> with perfluorocarbon (PFT) tracers and detecting for their presence at the surface; and (3) using surface and subsurface pressure probes to ensure well integrity. A listing of MMV protocols is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. MMV Objectives, Parameters and Procedures

Monitoring Objective	Measurement Parameter(s)	Monitoring Topic Addressed	MMV Procedure(s) and Tools
Surface and Subsurface CO <sub>2</sub> Pressure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating pressure</li> <li>Formation pressure</li> <li>Formation temperature</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leakage out of the storage formation</li> <li>Basic data to calibrate reservoir modeling</li> </ul>	Downhole gauges in CO <sub>2</sub> injection well to collect continuous pressure and temperature data; Surface pressure gauges in CO <sub>2</sub> injection well to monitor leakage.
Vertical Location of CO <sub>2</sub> Plume	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CO<sub>2</sub> saturation profile</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tracking CO<sub>2</sub> movement in and above storage formation</li> </ul>	Time-lapse (pre-, during, and post-injection) RST surveys of the storage formation and overlying seals and formations.
Areal and Vertical Location of CO <sub>2</sub> Plume	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>P and S wave seismic velocity</li> <li>Reflection horizons</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detect distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the storage formation</li> <li>Detect leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> through faults and fractures</li> </ul>	Time-lapse (pre- and post-injection) VSP surveys of the storage formation and overlying seals and formations.
Wellbore Integrity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CO<sub>2</sub> levels and isotopic ratio near wellhead</li> <li>Wellhead pressure</li> <li>Cement and formation bond quality</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detect vertical leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> through the wellbore</li> <li>Control of formation pressure below fracture gradient</li> <li>Monitor packer, tubing, and casing integrity</li> </ul>	Frequent near-wellhead monitoring for CO <sub>2</sub> isotopes using a portable NETL/MSU "ringdown-spectrometer". Tracer monitoring using Praxair's portable CO <sub>2</sub> tracer detector. Continue monitoring of pressure inside and outside injection string. Ultra-sonic cement bond logs for annual cement bond/quality.
Near-Surface Accumulations of CO <sub>2</sub>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Soil gas composition</li> <li>Surface evidence of CO<sub>2</sub> tracers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leakage or seepage of CO<sub>2</sub> to surface</li> </ul>	A suite of shallow (1 to 3m) monitoring wells placed in an array around wellsite, sampled at 1-yr pre-and 1-yr post-injection for baseline and elevated soil CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations.

## Accomplishments to Date

To date, the project has performed several successful outreach, regulatory and contractual activities. Key accomplishments include:

- Developed a plan to conduct the sequestration experiment on the grounds of the Plant Daniel Electric Generating Facility, approved in April 2006 by Mississippi Power Company.
- Participated in a Mississippi Power sponsored "neighbor meeting" to discuss the project and inform the public. The technical team participated in the interactive discussion with the public, using various visual aids (posters, rock samples, etc.) to convey our message.
- Developed and submitted to the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) a Class V Experimental Well Application. At the request of MDEQ, all Class I non-hazardous standards were met in the Application.
- In August, 2007, MDEQ held a public meeting to complete the regulatory requirements for the Class V Experimental Well Application. No additional questions were brought to the Board and the Well Permit was issued.
- Drilling permits for the planned injection and observation wells were submitted to the Mississippi Oil and Gas Board in September, 2007. The injection well permit entailed a thorough technical application; the observation well required only an application for an administrative permit.

## Target Sink Storage Opportunities and Benefits to the Region

The target formation for the SECARB Phase II project is the massive sandstone member of the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation (Massive Sand), a Cretaceous age sandstone saline reservoir that occurs in the subsurface along the Gulf of Mexico Coastal Plain from western Florida to Texas (where it is defined as the Woodbine Formation). A type stratigraphic column of the Gulf Coast Region is shown in Figure 2. The Lower Tuscaloosa contains an upper section of alternating shale and sand and a basal section, the Massive Sand Unit, which contains a thick package of clean, coarse-grained sand. The Formation was deposited during a major period of global sea-level rise and its deposition has been interpreted as an upward gradation from fluvial and deltaic sedimentation (the Massive Sand) to shelf deposition (alternating sand and shale).

The target formation is representative of the geology that could be used to store 50 percent of the CO<sub>2</sub> produced in the SECARB region during the next 100 years.

System	Series	Stratigraphic Unit	Sub-Units	Hydrology
Tertiary	Miocene	Misc. Miocene Units	Pascagoula Fm.	Freshwater Aquifers
			Hattiesburg Fm.	
			Catahoula Fm.	
	Oligocene	Vicksburg		Saline Reservoir
			Red Bluff Fm.	Minor confining unit
	Eocene	Jackson		Saline Reservoir
		Claiborne		Saline Reservoir
		Wilcox		Saline Reservoir
	Paleocene	Midway Shale		Confining unit
Cretaceous	Upper	Selma Chalk	Navarro Fm.	Confining unit
			Taylor Fm.	
		Eutaw	Austin Fm.	Confining unit
			Eagle Ford Fm.	Saline Reservoir
		Tuscaloosa Group	Upper Tusc.	Minor Reservoir
			Marine Tusc.	Confining unit
			Lower Tusc.	Saline Reservoir
			Dantzler Fm.	Saline Reservoir
	Lower	Washita-Fredricksburg		
			"Limestone Unit"	

Figure 2. Type Stratigraphic Column of the Gulf Coast Region

source emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> have been estimated to be 1,047 Mt (MIT 2007). Using the range of reported capacity, the Gulf Coast Wedge has the capacity to accommodate these emissions for 300 to 1,200 years, should one hundred percent of this CO<sub>2</sub> in the region need to be captured and stored.


The Lower Tuscaloosa Formation is a key component of a larger, regional group of similar formations, in terms of deposition and character, called the Gulf Coast Wedge. This wedge of sediments spans the entire region (from the Gulf of Mexico, through Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina and Virginia) and includes some of the largest saline sinks (in terms of areal extent and capacity) for the SECARB region as well as the United States.

CO<sub>2</sub> Injection tests into the Lower Tuscaloosa Formation will yield confidence in the storage ability of these other Cretaceous and Tertiary basins due to the similar lithologic characteristics, analogous depositional environments, proven seals, and moderately complex structural settings exhibited by all of the six Mesozoic and Cenozoic formations in the region.

The CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of the SECARB region has been recently assessed using conservative methodology set forth by the Geological Working Group's subcommittee on storage capacity. Annual stationary point-

Cost	Field Project Key Dates
Total Field Project Cost (Years 1-4): <u>\$6,755,245</u>	Baseline Completed: 12/2007
DOE Share: <u>\$5,112,799</u> <u>75.7%</u>	Drilling Operations Begin: 01/2008
Non-DOE Share: <u>\$1,642,446</u> <u>24.3%</u>	Injection Operations Begin: 04/2008

Field Test Schedule and Milestones (Gantt Chart):



▲ Key Decision Milestones